

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/751,065	01/05/2004		Robert John Swensen	1268	
51979	7590 08	1/09/2005	·	EXAM	INER
ROBERT J	OHN SWENSE	STASHICK, ANTHONY D			
JACKSON, CA 95642				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				3728	

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		C,				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/751,065	SWENSEN, ROBERT JOHN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anthony Stashick	3728				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 January 2004</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) \boxtimes objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1 _. 121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date ___

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

6) Other: ____.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ___

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/751,065

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the lateral arch support, the rigid metatarsal arch support and the varying heights of the medial arch support on the same insole, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Irwin et al. 4,520,581 in view of either of Phillips 4,791,736 or Holscher 2,095,191. Irwin et al. '581 discloses all the limitations substantially as claimed including the following: a varying medial longitudinal arch (see Figure 7 at 10a) constructed in four varying degrees of arch height; a biomechanically shaped weight-bearing support insole that cooperates with all three arches, the heel and the bone structure of the foot providing weight bearing support (see col. 7, line 24 through col. 9 line 55); a support system for the lateral longitudinal arch (see Figure 8); a support

Art Unit: 3728

system for the transverse arch (potion in 10 d as well as medial side wall in metatarsal area as shown in Figure 7); four varying medial arch degrees which extend inwardly along the medial side portions to provide the correct maximum support beneath the selected medial area of the user's foot helping prevent pronation (see Figure 7 at 10a as well as co. 7, line 24 through col. 9, line 55); the degree of the medial arch is dependent on the length of the insole as well as the size accommodating the curvatures of the bone structure (see Figure 7, along the length of the insole); a weight-bearing fit for any shoe or boot (the insole placed within a shoe or boot); a substantially firm, supportive, flexible material (material of laminae of 18); a substantially rigid outer support wall which extends generally upward along the lateral side portion having a standard degree of height relative to the size of the medial arch and length of insole (see Figure 7); an outer support wall to help control supination (around heel cup, also, see Figure 8); the transverse arch support system having a substantially rigid, upward support in the metatarsal portion (on the medial side portion). Irwin et al. '581 does not disclose or teach a deep heel cup support, the deep heel cup support having a downwardly concave heel cup portion providing stability, distribution of body weight, control and comfort; the heel cup having a strong supportive side wall extending upwardly from the insole heel base. Phillips '736 teaches that a foot support for a user can have a deep heel cup 32 that is downwardly concave and surrounded by an upwardly extending side wall 22 to help reduce heel roll which will in turn reduce supination. Therefore, it would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to create a deep heel cup, such as that taught by Phillips '736, in the heel area of Irwin et al. '581, to aid in supporting the user's heel and reduce heel roll, thereby reducing supination of the user's foot. Holscher '191 teaches that a foot support for a user can have a deep heel cup (heel part of 1) that

is downwardly concave and surrounded by an upwardly extending side wall 3 to help lock the calcaneus bone and eliminate creeping of support of the user's heel which will, in turn, reduce supination. Therefore, it would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to create a deep heel cup with a supporting wall, such as that taught by Holscher '191, in the heel area of Irwin et al. '581, to aid in supporting the user's heel and help lock the calcaneus bone and eliminate creeping of support of the user's heel which will, in turn, reduce supination.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and are cited on form 892 enclosed herewith.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Stashick whose telephone number is 571-272-4561. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 571-272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/751,065 Page 5

Art Unit: 3728

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anthony Stashick Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

ADS